

Importation of Pomegranate Fruits, *Punica granatum* from Israel into the United States

Qualitative, Pathway-Initiated Pest Risk Assessment

September 1997

Agency Contact:

**Biological Assessment and Taxonomic Support
Plant Protection and Quarantine
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
4700 River Road, Unit 133
Riverdale, MD 20737-1236**

Table of Contents

A. Introduction	1
B. Risk Assessment Methods	1
1. Initiating Event: Proposed Action	1
2. Assessment of Weediness Potential of Pomegranate	2
3. Previous Risk Assessments and Current Status	2
4. Pest List: Pests Associated with Pomegranate from Israel ...	3
5. List of Quarantine Pests	7
6. Quarantine Pests Likely to Follow Pathway (Quarantine Pests Selected for Further Analysis)	8
7. Economic Importance: Consequences of Introduction	9
8. Likelihood of Introduction	10
9. Conclusion: Pest Risk Potential and Phytosanitary Measures	10
C. References	11

A. Introduction

This pest risk assessment was prepared by the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) to examine plant pest risks associated with the importation into the United States of **fresh pomegranate fruits (*Punica granatum*) grown in Israel**. This is a qualitative pest risk assessment, that is, estimates of risk are expressed in qualitative terms such as high or low rather than numerical terms such as probabilities or frequencies. The details of methodology and rating criteria can be found in: *Pathway-Initiated Pest Risk Assessment: Guidelines for Qualitative Assessments, version 4.0* (USDA, 1995); available from the individual named in the proposed regulations, or on our web site at www.aphis.usda.gov/ppq/bats/bant.

International plant protection organizations, e.g., North American Plant Protection Organization (NAPPO) and International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) of the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), provide guidance for conducting pest risk analyses. The methods used to initiate, conduct, and report this plant pest risk assessment are consistent with guidelines provided by NAPPO, IPPC and FAO. Our use of biological and phytosanitary terms, e.g., introduction, quarantine pest, conforms with the *NAPPO Compendium of Phytosanitary Terms* (Hopper, 1996) and the *Definitions and Abbreviations (Introduction Section) in International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures, Section 1—Import Regulations: Guidelines for Pest Risk Analysis* (FAO 1996).

The *Guidelines for Pest Risk Analysis* provided by FAO (1996) describe three stages in pest risk analysis. This document satisfies the requirements of FAO Stages 1 (initiation) and 2 (risk assessment).

B. Risk Assessment

1. Initiating Event: Proposed Action

This pest risk assessment is commodity-based, and therefore "pathway-initiated"; the assessment is in response to a request for USDA authorization to allow importation of a particular commodity presenting a potential plant pest risk. In this case, the importation of **fresh pomegranate fruits (*Punica granatum*) grown in Israel** is a potential pathway for introduction of plant pests. Pomegranate fruits are currently enterable from Israel into North Atlantic ports subject to mandatory cold treatment for the Mediterranean fruit fly. No decision sheet was found in the files and a new pest risk assessment was initiated to determine the current pest risk. Regulatory authority for the importation of fruits and vegetables from foreign sources into the U.S. is found in 7 CFR §319.56 .

2. Assessment of Weediness Potential of Pomegranate, *Punica granatum*

The results of the weediness screening (Table 1) did not prompt a pest-initiated risk assessment.

Table 1: Process for Determining Weediness Potential of Commodity													
Commodity:	<i>Punica granatum</i> L. Pomegranate (Punicaceae)												
Phase 1:	Pomegranate is commercially cultivated in California. According to the PLANTS database, pomegranate has been introduced into Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Utah, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.												
Phase 2:	Is the species listed in: <table><tbody><tr><td><u>NO</u></td><td><i>Geographical Atlas of World Weeds</i> (Holm <i>et al.</i>, 1979)</td></tr><tr><td><u>NO</u></td><td><i>World's Worst Weeds</i> (Holm <i>et al.</i>, 1977)</td></tr><tr><td><u>NO</u></td><td><i>Report of the Technical Committee to Evaluate Noxious Weeds; Exotic Weeds for Federal Noxious Weed Act</i> (Gunn and Ritchie, 1982)</td></tr><tr><td><u>NO</u></td><td><i>Economically Important Foreign Weeds</i> (Reed, 1977)</td></tr><tr><td><u>NO</u></td><td>Weed Science Society of America list (WSSA, 1989)</td></tr><tr><td><u>NO</u></td><td>Is there any literature reference indicating weediness (<i>e.g.</i>, <i>AGRICOLA</i>, <i>CAB</i>, <i>Biological Abstracts</i>, <i>AGRIS</i>; search on "species name" combined with "weed").</td></tr></tbody></table>	<u>NO</u>	<i>Geographical Atlas of World Weeds</i> (Holm <i>et al.</i> , 1979)	<u>NO</u>	<i>World's Worst Weeds</i> (Holm <i>et al.</i> , 1977)	<u>NO</u>	<i>Report of the Technical Committee to Evaluate Noxious Weeds; Exotic Weeds for Federal Noxious Weed Act</i> (Gunn and Ritchie, 1982)	<u>NO</u>	<i>Economically Important Foreign Weeds</i> (Reed, 1977)	<u>NO</u>	Weed Science Society of America list (WSSA, 1989)	<u>NO</u>	Is there any literature reference indicating weediness (<i>e.g.</i> , <i>AGRICOLA</i> , <i>CAB</i> , <i>Biological Abstracts</i> , <i>AGRIS</i> ; search on "species name" combined with "weed").
<u>NO</u>	<i>Geographical Atlas of World Weeds</i> (Holm <i>et al.</i> , 1979)												
<u>NO</u>	<i>World's Worst Weeds</i> (Holm <i>et al.</i> , 1977)												
<u>NO</u>	<i>Report of the Technical Committee to Evaluate Noxious Weeds; Exotic Weeds for Federal Noxious Weed Act</i> (Gunn and Ritchie, 1982)												
<u>NO</u>	<i>Economically Important Foreign Weeds</i> (Reed, 1977)												
<u>NO</u>	Weed Science Society of America list (WSSA, 1989)												
<u>NO</u>	Is there any literature reference indicating weediness (<i>e.g.</i> , <i>AGRICOLA</i> , <i>CAB</i> , <i>Biological Abstracts</i> , <i>AGRIS</i> ; search on "species name" combined with "weed").												
Phase 3: Conclusion:	No evidence of weediness traits were noted in the literature.												

3. Previous Risk Assessments and Current Status

Decision history for *Punica granatum*

1925 - Italy: Denied entry, no acceptable treatment for medfly.

1987 - Greece: Entry permitted at all ports with mandatory treatment (T107(a)) for medfly.

1988 - Turkey: Entry permitted at all ports with mandatory treatment (T107) for medfly.

1988 - Iran: Denied entry, no acceptable treatment for two species of *Dacus*.

4. Pest List: Pests Associated with *Punica* spp.

The pest list in Table 2 was developed after a review of the information sources listed in USDA (1995). The list summarizes information on the distribution of each pest, pest-commodity association, and regulatory history.

Table 2: Pest List - <i>Punica</i> spp.			
Scientific Name, Classification	Distribution¹	Comments²	References
Pathogens			
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.:Fr.)Keissl. (Fungi Imperfecti: Hyphomycetes)	IL,US	c,o	Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Palti <i>et al.</i> , 1977
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Tiegh. (Fungi Imperfecti: Hyphomycetes)	IL,US	c,o	Barkai-Golan, 1981; Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.:Fr. (Fungi Imperfecti: Hyphomycetes)	IL,US	c,m,o	Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989, Rotem and Aust, 1991
<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> (Pens.) Penz. & Sacc in Penz. (Fungi Imperfecti: Coelomycetes)	IL,US	c,m,o	Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Prusky <i>et al.</i> , 1991
<i>Penicillium expansum</i> Link (Fungi Imperfecti: Hyphomycetes)	IL,US	c,o	Barkai-Golan, 1974; Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> Kuhn (Mycelia Sterilia: Agonomycetes)	IL,US	c,m,o	Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Hadar and Mandelbaum, 1992
<i>Rosellinia necatrix</i> Prill (Pyrenomycetes: Xylariales) anamorph: <i>Dematophora nectarix</i> R. Hartig	IL,US	a,o	Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Szejnberg and Madar, 1979
<i>Sphaceloma punicae</i> Bitanc. & Jenkins (Fungi Imperfecti: Coelomycetes)	IL,US(FL)	g,Z _i	Farr <i>et al.</i> , 1989; USDA, 1997
Bacteria			
<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> (Smith & Townsend) Conn	IL,US	a,c,o	Bradbury, 1986
Viruses			
None found in the literature			
Arthropods			
<i>Acaudaleyrodes citri</i> (Priesner and Hosny) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae)	IL	a	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; Rivnay and Gerling, 1987
Aleyrodidae, species of. (Homoptera)	IL	z _i	USDA, 1997
<i>Apate monachus</i> Fab. (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae)	IL	a	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969

<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae)	IL,US	a,c,o	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; USDA, 1997
<i>Aphis punicae</i> Passerini (Homoptera: Aphididae)	IL	a	Avidov, and Harpaz, 1969
<i>Apomyelois (Ectomyelois) ceratoniae</i> Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)	IL,US(HI)	c,o	Gothilf, 1970; USDA, 1997; Zhang, 1994
<i>Aurigena chlorana</i> Cast. and Bory (Coleoptera: Buprestidae)	IL	a	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969
<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aphididae)	IL,US	a,c,o	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1986
<i>Ceratitis capitata</i> (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae)	IL,US,	h,x,z	USDA, 1997
<i>Ceroplastes floridensis</i> (Comstock) (Homoptera: Coccidae)	IL,US	a,c,o	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1982
<i>Ceroplastes sinensis</i> Del. G. (Homoptera: Coccidae)	IL?,IR,LB	a,v	CIE, 1980; Farahbakhsh, 1961
<i>Ceroplastes</i> sp. (Homoptera: Coccidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Chrysomphalus aonidum</i> (L.) (Homoptera: Diaspididae)	IL,US	o,c,z	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; IIE, 1988
<i>Crematogaster</i> sp. (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Cryptoblabes gnidiella</i> Milliere (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)	IL,US(HI)	g,x,z	Asher <i>et al.</i> , 1983; USDA, 1997; Zhang, 1994
<i>Cryptoblabes</i> sp. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Deudorix (=Virachola) isocrates</i> (F.) (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae)	IL	z	Hill, 1987
<i>Deudorix (=Virachola) livia</i> Klug (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae)	IL	z	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969
<i>Ectomyelois</i> sp. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Eriophyes granati</i> Can. (Acari: Eriophyidae)	IL	a	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; Jeppson <i>et al.</i> , 1975
<i>Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis</i> (Bouche) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)	IL,US	c,o,z	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1961
<i>Lepidosaphes ulmi</i> (L.) (Homoptera: Diaspididae)	IL,US	c,o,z	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1958
<i>Lobesia botrana</i> Denis & Schiffermuller (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)	IL	e (infrequent host)	Taylor, 1988; Zhang, 1994
Lycaenidae, species of. (Lepidoptera)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Maladera matrida</i> Argaman (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae)	IL	a	Golberg <i>et al.</i> , 1991
<i>Microcerotermes diversus</i> Silv. (Isoptera: Termitidae)	IL	a	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969

Olethreutinae, species of. (Lepidoptera)	IL	x,z	USDA, 1997
<i>Oxycarenus hyalinipennis</i> (Costa) (Heteroptera: Lygaeidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Parasaissetia nigra</i> (Nietn.) (Homoptera: Coccidae)	IL,US	o,z	Arnett, 1985; Jadhav and Ajri, 1985; Kfir and Rosen, 1980
<i>Parlatoria oleae</i> (Colvee) (Homoptera: Diaspididae)	IL,US	m,o	Avidov and Hkarpas, 1969; Butani, 1976
<i>Piezodorus lituratus</i> (Fabricius) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Planococcus citri</i> (Risso) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae)	IL,US	c,o,z	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1969
<i>Planococcus lilacinus</i> (Ckll.) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae)	IL	z	Hill, 1987
<i>Planococcus</i> sp. (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
Pseudococcidae, species of. (Homoptera)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
Pyralidae, species of. (Lepidoptera)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Retithrips syriacus</i> (Mayet) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)	IL	a,v	Hill, 1987; Izhar <i>et al.</i> , 1992
Riodinidae, species of. (Lepidoptera)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Saissetia oleae</i> (Olivier) (Homoptera: Coccidae)	IL,US	c,o	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1976
<i>Siphoninus granati</i> (Priesner and Hosny) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae)	IL	a	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969
<i>Siphoninus phillyreae</i> Haliday (Homoptera: Aleyrodidea)	IL,US(CA)	a,o	Golberg <i>et al.</i> , 1991; Bellows <i>et al.</i> , 1992
<i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> P. & B. (Acari: Tenuipalpidae)	IL(?)	v,z	Hassan <i>et al.</i> , 1986; Zaher and Yousef, 1972
Tephritidae, species of. (Diptera)	IL	z	USDA, 1997
<i>Zeuzera pyrina</i> (L.) (Lepidoptera: Cossidae)	IL,US	a,c,o	Avidov and Harpaz, 1969; CIE, 1973

¹ Distribution legend: IL = Israel; IR = Iran; LB = Lebanon; US = United States; CA = California; FL = Florida; HI = Hawaii;

- ² Comments:
- a = Pest mainly associated with a plant part other than the commodity.
 - c = Listed in USDA's non-reportable dictionary as non-actionable.
 - e = Although pest attacks commodity, it would not be expected to remain with the commodity during processing.
 - g = Quarantine pest: pest has limited distribution in U.S. and is under official control as follows: pest listed by name in USDA's pest dictionary, official quarantine action may be taken on this pest when intercepted on this commodity.
 - h = Quarantine pest: pest has limited distribution in the U.S. and is under official control as follows: (1) pest listed by name in USDA's pest dictionary, official quarantine action may be taken on this pest when intercepted on this commodity and, (2) pest is a program pest.
 - m = The pest occurs within the PRA area and has been reported to attack the specified host species in other geographic regions; but has not been reported to attack the specified host species in the PRA area.
 - o = Organism does not meet the geographic or regulatory definition of a quarantine pest.
 - v = No specific reports of the pest from PRA area, but regional reports exist and the pest may be present in the PRA area.
 - x = Multiple interceptions exist.
 - z_e = External pest: is known to attack or infest *Punica* spp. and it would be reasonable to expect the pest may remain with the commodity during processing and shipping.
 - z_i = Internal pest: is known to attack or infest *Punica* spp. and it would be reasonable to expect the pest may remain with the commodity during processing and shipping.

³ *Ceratitidis capitata* has been detected on occasion in the continental United States. Whenever *C. capitata* has been detected, a quarantine was established and an eradication program was implemented. *C. capitata* is considered a quarantine pests in the United States. An infestation in Florida is currently under eradication.

5. List of Quarantine Pests

The list of quarantine pests for commercial shipments of pomegranates from Israel is provided in Table 3. Should any of these pests be intercepted on commercial (or any other) shipments of *Punica granatum* quarantine action may be taken.

Table 3: Quarantine Pests:

Pathogens	<i>Sphaceloma punicae</i>
Arthropods	<i>Acaudaleyrodes citri</i> Aleyrodidae, species of. <i>Apate monachus</i> <i>Aphis punicae</i> <i>Aurigena chlorana</i> <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> <i>Ceroplastes sinensis</i> <i>Ceroplastes</i> sp. <i>Crematogaster</i> sp. <i>Cryptoblabes gnidiella</i> <i>Cryptoblabes</i> sp. <i>Deudorix isocrates</i> <i>Deudorix livia</i> <i>Ectomylelois</i> sp. <i>Eriophyes granati</i> <i>Lobesia botrana</i> Lycaenidae, species of. <i>Maladera matrida</i> <i>Microcerotermes diversus</i> Olethreutinae, species of. <i>Oxycarenum hyalinipennis</i> <i>Piezodorus lituratus</i> <i>Planococcus linacinus</i> <i>Planococcus</i> sp. <i>Pseudococcidae</i> , species of. Pyralidae, species of. Riodinidae, species of. <i>Siphoninus granati</i> <i>Tenuipalpus punicae</i> Tephritidae, species of.

6. Quarantine Pests Likely to Follow Pathway (i.e., Quarantine Pests Selected for Further Analysis)

Only those quarantine pests that can reasonably be expected to follow the pathway, *i. e.*, be included in commercial shipments of *Punica granatum*, were analyzed in detail (USDA, 1995). Only quarantine pests listed in Table 4 were selected for further analysis and subjected to steps 7-9 below.

Pathogens	<i>Sphaceloma punicae</i>
Arthropods	<i>Ceratitis capitata</i> <i>Cryptoblabes gnidiella</i> <i>Deudorix isocrates</i> <i>Deudorix livia</i> <i>Planococcus lilacinus</i>

Other plant pests in this Assessment, not chosen for further scrutiny, may be potentially detrimental to the agricultural production systems of the United States; however, there were a variety of reasons for not subjecting them to further analysis. For example, they are associated mainly with plant parts other than the commodity; they may be associated with the commodity (however, it was not considered reasonable to expect these pests to remain with the commodity during processing); they have been intercepted as biological contaminants of these commodities during inspections by Plant Protection and Quarantine Officers but would not be expected to be present with every shipment. In addition, the biological hazard of organisms identified only to the generic level are not assessed due to the lack of adequate biological/taxonomic information. This lack of biological information on any given insect or pathogen should not be equated with low risk. By necessity, pest risk assessments focus on those organisms for which biological information is available. By developing detailed assessments for known pests that inhabit a variety of niches on the parent species, *i.e.* on the surface of or within the bark/wood, on the foliage, etc., effective mitigation measures can be developed to eliminate the known organism and any similar unknown ones that inhabit the same niches.

7. Economic Importance: Consequences of Introduction

The consequences of introduction were considered for each quarantine pest selected for further analysis. For qualitative, pathway-initiated pest risk assessments, these risks are estimated by rating each pest with respect to five risk elements (USDA, 1995). Table 5 shows the risk ratings for these risk elements.

Pest	Climate/ Host	Host Range	Dispersal	Economic	Environ- mental	Risk Rating
<i>Sphaceloma puniceae</i>	high	low	low	low	medium	medium
<i>Ceratitidis capitata</i>	high	high	high	high	high*	high
<i>Cryptoblabes gnidiella</i>	medium	high	medium	medium	medium	medium
<i>Deudorix</i> spp.	medium	high	medium	medium	high**	high
<i>Planococcus lilacinus</i>	high	high	low	medium	high***	high

**Ceratitidis capitata* is known to attack members of the plant genera, *Eugenia*, *Ochrosia*, *Opuntia*, *Prunus*, *Santalum*, and *Solanum*. In the United States, *Eugenia haematocarpa*, *E. koolauensis*, *E. woodburyana*, *Ochrosia kilaueaensis*, *Opuntia treleasei*, *Prunus geniculata*, *Santalum freycinetianum* var. *lanaiense*, *Solanum drymophilum*, *S. incompletum*, and *S. sandwicense* are Federal listed endangered species.

***Deudorix livia* is known to attack a member of the plant genus, *Vicia*. In the United States, *Vicia menziesii* is a Federal listed endangered species.

****Planococcus lilacinus* is known to attack members of the plant genera, *Amaranthus*, *Solanum* and *Ziziphus*. In the United States, *Amaranthus pumilus*, *Solanum drymophilum*, *Solanum incompletum*, *Solanum sandwicense*, and *Ziziphus celata* are Federally listed endangered species.

We believe it would be reasonable to assume that these pests may attack these endangered plants. We automatically gave these pests a risk rating of 'high' for Consequence of Introduction because of existing legislation regarding endangered plants.

8. Likelihood of Introduction

Each pest is rated with respect to introduction potential, *i.e.*, entry and establishment. Two separate components are considered. First, the amount of commodity likely to be imported is estimated. More imports lead to greater risk; therefore, the risk rating for the quantity of commodity is the same for all quarantine pests considered. Second, five biological features, (risk elements) concerning the pest and its interactions with the commodity are considered. The resulting risk ratings are specific to each pest. The cumulative risk rating for introduction was considered to be an indicator of the likelihood that a particular pest would be introduced (USDA, 1995). Table 6 shows our ratings for these risk elements.

Pest	Quantity of commodity imported annually	Likelihood survive postharvest treatment	Likelihood survive shipment	Likelihood not detected at port of entry	Likelihood moved to suitable habitat	Likelihood find suitable host	Risk rating
<i>Sphaceloma punicae</i>	low	high	high	low	low	low	medium
<i>Ceratitidis capitata</i>	low	high	high	high	high	high	high
<i>Cryptoblabes gnidiella</i>	low	high	high	low	medium	medium	medium
<i>Deudorix</i> spp.	low	medium	medium	low	medium	medium	medium
<i>Planococcus lilacinus</i>	low	high	high	low	low	low	medium

9. Conclusion: Pest Risk Potential and Phytosanitary Measures

The measure of pest risk potential combines the risk ratings for consequences and likelihood of introduction (USDA, 1995). The estimated pest risk potential for each quarantine pest selected for further analysis is provided in Table 7.

Pest	Pest risk potential
<i>Sphaceloma punicae</i>	medium
<i>Ceratitidis capitata</i>	high
<i>Cryptoblabes gnidiella</i>	medium
<i>Deudorix</i> spp.	high
<i>Planococcus lilacinus</i>	high

Plant pests with a high Pest Risk Potential may require specific phytosanitary measures. The choice of appropriate sanitary and phytosanitary measures to mitigate risk is undertaken as part of Risk Management and is not addressed, *per se*, in this document.

PPQ has over 2000 plant pest interceptions from pomegranates from other areas; however, virtually all external pests listed could be detected by inspection. Some of these same pests occur in Israel in addition to other quarantine pests and have been intercepted as hitchhikers with other commodities.

Should any of these pests be intercepted on commercial (or any other) shipments of *Punica granatum*, quarantine action may be taken.

C. References

- Arnett, R. H. 1985. American Insects: A handbook of the insects of America North of Mexico. Van Nostrand Reinold Co., N.Y. 850 pp.
- Asher, K. R. S., Eliyahu, M., Gurevitz, E. and Renneh, S. 1983. Rearing the honeydew moth, *Cryptoblabes gnidiella*, and the effect of diflubenzuron on its eggs (Pest of grapes, citrus, loquat, pomegranates and avocado in Israel). *Phytoparasitica* 11:195-198.
- Avidov, Z. and Harpaz, I. 1969. Plant Pests of Israel. Israel Univ. Press. Jerusalem. 549 pp.
- Barkai-Golan, R. 1974. Species of *Penicillium* causing decay of stored fruits and vegetables in Israel. *Mycopathologia et Mycologia Applicata* 54:141-145.
- Barkai-Golan, R. 1981. An annotated check-list of fungi causing postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables in Israel. Agric. Res. Organ. Div. of Scientific Pub. Special Publication Number 194. 36 pp.
- Bellows, T. S., Paine, T. D. Gould, J. R. Bezark, L. G. and Ball, J. C. 1992. Biological control of ash whitefly: a success in progress. *California Agriculture* 46:27-28..
- Blackman, R. L. and V. F. Eastop. 1984. Aphids on the World's Crops. An Identification Guide. John Wiley & Sons, N.Y. 466 pp.
- Bradbury, J. F. 1986. Guide to Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. CAB International Mycological Institute, Surrey, England. 329 pp.
- Butani, D. K. 1976. Insect pests of fruit crops and their control. 21. Pomegranate. *Pesticides* 10:23-26.
- CIE. 1958. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 85, *Lepidosaphes ulmi*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1961. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 135, *Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1969. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 43, *Planococcus citri*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1973. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 314, *Zeuzera pyrina*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1976. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 24, *Saissetia oleae*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1980. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 412, *Ceroplastes sinensis*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1982. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 440, *Ceroplastes floridensis*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- CIE. 1986. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 284, *Bemisia tabaci*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.

- FAO. 1996. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures. Part 1 - Import Regulations: Guidelines for Pest Risk Analysis (Draft Standard). Secretariate of the International Plant Protection Convention of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy. 21 pp.
- Farahbakhsh, G. 1961. A checklist of important insects and other enemies of plants in Iran.
- Farr, D. F., G. F. Bills, G. P. Chamuris and A. Y. Rossman. 1989. Fungi on Plants and Plant Products in the United States. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN. 1252 pp.
- Golberg, A. M., Itzhaki, J., Nuriel, E., and Keren, S. 1991. The scarabaeid beetle, *Maladera matrida* Agraman, its damage to the fruit trees and its rearing technique. *Alon-Hanotea* 45:281-284.
- Gothilf, S. 1970. The biology of the carob moth *Ectomyelois ceratoniae* (Zell.) in Israel. *Isr. J. Entomol.* 5:161-175.
- Gunn, C. R. and C. Ritchie. 1982. 1982 Report of the Technical Committee to Evaluate Noxious Weeds; Exotic Weeds for Federal Noxious Weed Act. (unpublished).
- Hadar, Y. and Mandelbaum, R. 1992. Suppressive compost for biocontrol of soilborne plant pathogens. *Phytoparasitica* 20:113S-116S.
- Hassan, M. F. Alifi, A. M. and Nawar, M. S. 1986. Mites inhabiting plants and soil in Sinai and newly reclaimed lands. *Bulletin de la Societe Entomologique d'Egypte* 66:211-225.
- Hill, D. S. 1987. Agricultural Insect Pests of the Temperate Regions and Their Control. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 659 pp.
- Holm, L. G., D. L. Plucknett, J. V. Pancho and J. P. Herberger. 1977. The World's Worst Weeds. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu. 609 pp.
- Holm, L. G., J. V. Pancho and J. P. Herberger and D. L. Plucknett. 1979. A Geographical Atlas of World Weeds. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 392 pp.
- Hopper, B. E. 1996. NAPPO Compendium of Phytosanitary Terms. NAPPO Doc. No. 96-027/ North American Plant Protection Organization (NAPPO). NAPPO Secretariate, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. 25 pp.
- IIE. 1988. Distribution Maps of Pests, Number 4, *Chrysomphalus aonidum*. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, UK.
- Izhar, Y., Yehuda, B., Swirski, E. Wysoki, M., and Dagan, M. 1992. Occurrence of the black vine thrips, *Retithrips syriacus* Mayet, on avocado in Israel and trials to control the pest by insecticides of plant origin. *Alon Hanotea* 46:523-529.
- Jadhav, S. S. and Ajri, D. S. 1985. Chemical control of scale insects on pomegranate in Maharashtra. *Indian J. Entomol. New Delhi, Entomol. Soc. of India* 47:63-65.
- Jeppson, L. R., H. H. Keifer, and E. W. Baker. 1975. Mites Injurious to Economic Plants. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley. 614 pp.
- Kfir, R. and Rosen, D. 1980. Parasites of soft scales (Homoptera: Coccidae) in Israel: an annotated list. *Journal of the Entomol. Soc. of Southern Africa* 43:113-128.
- Palti, J. Solel, Z. Szejnberg, A., Arenstein, Z. Bar-Joseph, M., Barkai-Golan, R. Cohn, R., Fleischer, Z., Golan, Y., Orion, D., Pappo, S. Pinkas, Y., Schiffmann-Nadel, M. Shabi, E., and Tanne E. 1977. A Check List of Diseases of Fruit Crops in Israel, Supplement No. 1. Agric. Res. Cntr., The Volcani Center, Bet Dagan, Israel. 53 pp.
- Prusky, D., Kobilier, I., Fishman, Y. Sims, J. J. Midland, S. L. and Keen, N. T. 1991. Identification of an antifungal compound in unripe avocado fruits and its possible involvement in the quiescent infections of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *Journal of Phytopathology* 132:319-327.
- Reed, C. F. 1977. Economically Important Foreign Weeds. Agriculture Handbook No. 498. 746 pp.
- Rivnay, T. and Gerling, D. 1987. *Aphelinidae parasitoids* (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea) of whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in Israel, with description of three new species. *Entomophaga* 32:463-475.
- Rotem, J. and Aust, H. J. 1991. The effect of ultraviolet and solar radiation and temperature on survival of fungal propagules. *Journal of Phytopathology*. 133:76-84.
- Szejnberg, A. and Madar, S. 1979. The host range of *Dematophora (Rosellinia) necatrix*, the causal

- agent of white root rot in fruit trees, and preliminary trials on control. *Alon-Hanotea* 33:787-791.
- Taylor, R. S. 1988. Pathway Studies, *Lobesia botrana* D. & S. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Unpublished document, USDA, APHIS, PPQ. 8 pp.
- USDA. 1995. Pathway-Initiated Pest Risk Assessment: Guidelines for Qualitative Assessments, Ver 4.0. PPQ, APHIS. 15 pp.
- USDA. 1997. BATS 309 Pest Interception Database, APHIS, PPQ. Search completed August 1997.
- WSSA, 1989. Composite List of Weeds. Weed Science Society of America.
- Zaher, M. A. and Yousef, A. A. 1972. Biology of the false spider mite *Tenuipalpus punicae* P. & B. in United Arab Republic. *Z. Angew. Entomol.* 70:23-29.
- Zhang, Bin-Cheng. 1994. Index of Economically Important Lepidoptera. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. 599 pp.

John Lightfield
Biological Assessment and Taxonomic Support
Plant Protection and Quarantine
September 1997

Reviewed by:
G. Cave, Entomologist
R. Stewart, Entomologist
E. Podleckis, Plant Virologist
L. Redmond, Plant Pathologist